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ABSTRACT

Accurate estimation and evaluation of consumption reduction achieved by participants during Demand Response is critical to Smart Grids. We perform an in-depth study of popular estimation methods used to determine the extent of consumption shedding during DR, using a real-world Smart Grid dataset from the University of Southern California campus microgrid. We provide insights to the process of selecting a reasonable baseline with respect to potential misinterpretation of the estimation of electricity consumption reduction during DR.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
G.3 [Probability and Statistics]: Time Series Analysis;
H.4.m [Information Systems Applications]: Miscellaneous
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1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we statistically analyze the effect of Baseline Load Profile (BLP) models on the interpretation of consumption reduction as a result of Demand Response (DR) [6, 10] using real-world data from the University of Southern California (USC) microgrid, with the objective of improving the accuracy of estimating electricity demand reduction due to participation in DR programs. Accurate estimation and evaluation of consumption reduction achieved by participants during curtailment is critical to DR programs [6], particularly when participation is voluntary [1]. The amount of computed curtailment depends on the accuracy of the baseline model used. As many baseline models exist, different curtailment estimates can be derived. The problem with calculating BLP model accuracy, lies mainly in the fact that there is no actual reference value to compare against. We argue that without careful consideration, utility providers can end up with erroneous data on the actual curtailment which can in turn lead to billing or rewarding issues. We show that choosing a good baseline depends on both intrinsic (e.g., DR strategy, day of week) and extrinsic (e.g., temperature, human behavior) factors. To the best of our knowledge, our work is the first to provide an in-depth comparative analysis of the effect of BLP models for post DR analysis in a real-world, large-scale setting.

2. REAL-WORLD CASE STUDY

We consider a real-world Smart Grid dataset from the University of Southern California campus microgrid. The dataset comprises of a collection of observed electricity consumption values (measured in kWh at every 15 minutes) from 35 diverse buildings, collected over a one year period (November 2012 - December 2013) [3]. Using our real-world dataset, we benchmark a set of BLPs: Auto Regressive Integrated Moving-Average (ARIMA) [5], New York ISO (NYISO) [8], Southern California Edison ISO (CASCE) [9], California ISO (CAISO) [2] and a modified version that introduces a morning adjustment factor (CAISOm) [6], and Fixed Value (i.e., the consumption value just prior to the beginning of the DR event is used as the predictor).

We examine the performance of a baseline in terms of bias, i.e., dominance of positive or negative predictions, and accuracy, i.e., average absolute percent error. To measure model bias, we measure the median of the distribution of errors. Intuitively, the closest to zero the median of the error is, the more unbiased the model. We measure average deviation between predicted consumption, $fc_{15}^t$, and actual consumption, $ac_{15}^t$, on non-DR days (between 1-5pm, for consistency with DR days), as $MPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left( \frac{fc_{15}^t - ac_{15}^t}{ac_{15}^t} \right)$. We found CASCE to perform the best among all BLPs, achieving good MAPE values while at the same time being the least biased.

3. ACHIEVING A CURTAILMENT GOAL

To shed light on the effect of baseline selection on the interpretation of consumption reduction estimation and evaluation due to DR programs, we consider DR events in which all buildings participate, each following a random DR strategy (e.g., Duty Cycling, Variable Frequency Drive [7], Global Temperature Reset [7]). In order to ensure that randomly selecting a strategy for each building does not affect our findings, we repeated the experiment, with the difference that all buildings participate, each following a random DR strategy.
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The dataset is available upon request for academic use from the USC Facility Management Services (FMS).
for analysis. Therefore more effort should be allocated in the following areas of research. First, better baseline methods that can be applied to all customers without exhibiting volatility to external factors would be highly desirable. If a “one solution fits all” is not possible, developing a framework that would adapt to individual household attributes so as to select the “best” performing baseline method for each individual customer would be advisable. Learning to switch between baselines as time progresses to adapt to customers (changing) behavior would also be beneficial, but at the same time computationally expensive.

Instead of estimating what the consumption would have been in the absence of DR (i.e., baseline consumption), and then calculating the difference between such estimate and the actual consumption during DR, computational methods for reduced consumption prediction would be beneficial. The advantage of such an approach is twofold. First, reduced consumption prediction does not require a baseline calculation. Instead, observed curtailed consumption from past events could be used to predict future curtailed consumption. Second, predicted values would be directly comparable against observed consumption during DR for a fair performance evaluation. Some works [4] have already proposed solutions towards this direction. Our findings motivate an exploration of promising future work.

The drawback of our work is that it only considers a single regional scenario, even though our analysis involves a heterogeneous collection of buildings with diverse functions and purpose, covering a wide percentage of consumer demographics. Considering scenarios on a per-household basis, as well as including more diverse customer types (e.g. industrial or residential) would strengthen our study.
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