

Articulation strategies in expressive piano performance

By Roberto Bresin and Giovanni Umberto Battel

Presented by Shih-Jer Lin

Outline:

- Introduction
- Method:
 - Legato articulation
 - Staccato articulation
 - Repeated tones articulation
- Outlook
- Conclusions

Authors

Roberto Bresin

- <http://www.speech.kth.se/~roberto>
- research interest: expressive music performance.
- **Education**
 PhD in Music Acoustics, Dept. of Speech Music Hearing, KTH, Stockholm
 Master in Electrical Engineering, Padua University, Italy.
 Degree in piano performance, grade 8 (ottavo anno), Rovigo Conservatory of Music, Italy




- **Giovanni Umberto Battel**
- Italian pianist who has performed concerts and recitals throughout the world, including London, Stockholm, Athens, Ankara, Tokyo and several cities in the United States.
- <http://www.conseve.it/sitidocenti/battel/>




Introduction

- AIM: Relation between Piano players' finger motions & resulting expressive performance character
- KTH performance rules: (Bresin & Friberg)
 - 1.articulation of repetition: introduce a fix micro-pause between two notes of the same pitch
 - 2.duration contrast rule (empirical) insert long micro-pause after short notes
 - amplified->staccato
 - inverted ->legato



- **Battel & Fimbianti:**
 - Passionate (slowest): legato with both hands
 - bright and flat (fastest): non-legato with both hands



- **Repp:**
 - Key Overlap time (KOT): the time interval between the onset of key depression for one tone and the key release for the preceding one
 - he found clear increase of staccato note durations with increasing IOI

Method

- 5 pianists(2 female and 3 male)
- First 16 bars of the Andante movement of W.A. Mozart's Piano Sonata in G major K-545
- 9 adjectives: natural, bright-dark, heavy-light, hard-soft, passionate-flat
- Played on Yamaha Disklavier II, C3 model

- Pianist were asked to exaggerate the expressive intentions
 1. help the performer, cause it psychologically unusual to play Mozart dark, hard, heavy or flat
 2. need a larger contrast between performances so the analysis is easier

Legato articulation

- Aim: how the KOR varied in the 5X9 performances
- Key Overlap Ratio (KOR):
KOR represented as a percentage of the IOI




1. material: piano sonata G major, k545

Andante




Analyze method

- ANCOVA:
<http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~lsherry/rem/ancova.html>
- <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/tutorial/Lee/LEE1.HTM>
- Analyzed by 3 factor ANCOVA



- They played faster in ascending than in descending melodic patterns
- Compare with previous studies same:
 1. key overlap times increase as tempo decreased in production (Repp)
 2. down notes were played with a larger KOT than up notes (Sloboda)
 3. KOT decrease as tempo increased (Mackenzie & Van Eerd)

difference:

overlap time decrease with increasing duration of the preceding note (Palmer)

parallel:

largest degree of legato: heavy

smallest degree of legato: bright (De poli, Roda , & Vidolin)

(but bright receive medium degree in Bresin's study)

Staccato articulation

- Key Detached Time (KDT): time between the release of the key and the instant when the key of following note is fully depressed
- Key Detached Ration (KDR): ration between KDT and IOI of the preceding tone

Result and Discussion





Fig. 10: Mean KDR for each adjective, averaged across pianists, and plotted against mean IOI for tones played staccato.

- Only the 8th & 16th notes are marked staccato, so Only 2 nominal IOI to consider
- KDR values for each adjective are almost constant -->staccato articulation is independent to IOI



- Smallest KDR values: dark, heavy, passionate
- Compare this with general opinion: Heavy->mezzo-staccato
Passionate->staccato

Repeated tones articulation

- Material:
first note of each repeated note pair, G4 and A4 in bar 15
- Analysis:
2 factor ANCOVA

Result and Discussion




Fig. 12. IOI for tone repetitions. The line represents the best linear fit to the data points.

- Only 2 repeated notes in the score->only 2 nominal IOIs
- KDRs decrease at a mean rate of 5% with increasing IOI





Fig. 13. Mean KDR for each adjective, averaged across pianists, and plotted against mean IOI for tone repetitions.

- Trend lines are almost parallel, and delimited by passionate and hard performance.
- Natural performance is the 2nd highest



- Hard versions were significantly different from the soft, bright, dark, natural versions

- Interactions between pianist and adjectives were non-significant
- No constant behavior was observed across pianist when switching from an adjective to the other
- Rendered differently from staccato notes

Outlook

- Director Musices (DM):
articulation of repetition rule:
insert a fixed micro-pause of $k \cdot 35\text{ms}$ between repeated notes
- KTH performance system:
faster uphill rule:
the IOI for notes initiating descending intervals being played are 4 ms longer and with larger KOR than those with ascending intervals.

- legato is performed by means of overlap between adjacent tones; the duration of staccato tones is shortened about 40%:
Analogies to walking and running
Legato-walking:
same qualitative tendency in overlapping(KOT increasing with IOI)
Staccato-running:
flight phase had a KDR corresponding to a mezzo-staccato articulation (KDR=25% of IOI) for short IOIs increasing toward a passionate staccato articulation(KDR=50% of IOI)

Conclusion

- General trends:
 1. Legato:
 - the KOR decreased with increasing IOI of the 1st of overlapping tones; reflects the strategy to lengthen short note and to shorten longer notes
 - IOI of notes initiating descending intervals were played longer and with larger KOR than the IOI of notes initiating ascending intervals


- 2. Staccato:
KDR seems independent of IOI
- 3. repeated notes:
KDR for the 1st note decreasing with increasing IOI; KDT remains constant in natural and heavy performance

- Application:
Director musices performance system
electro-acoustic instrument performance
- Further study:
 1. left hand material combine with present material
 2. other scores
 3. other instruments

ANCOVA(Analysis of Covariance)

Assumptions

- independence (each subject is in only one cell)
- normality of population from which sample is chosen
- homogeneity of variance across all cells
- a linear relationship between covariate and dependent variable
- homogeneity of regression coefficients: the correlations are the same
- the treatment IV has no effect on the covariate. (they're independent)



- ANCOVA adjusts for disparities in covariates distributions over groups by artificially assuming that all groups have the same set of mean covariate values
- The ANCOVA adjustment procedure is equivalent to artificially assuming a common covariate distribution based on the combined sample over all group. That is, not only are the means assumed to be equal, but the entire distribution of the covariates in the combined sample is assumed to be the same as the distribution of the covariates in each group

- F-test of significance :
used to test each main and interaction effect, for the case of a single interval dependent and multiple (>2) groups formed by a categorical independent.
F is between-groups variance divided by within-groups variance
- If the computed p-value is small, then significant relationships exist.