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Spatial Crowdsourcing (SC)

Crowdsourcing: outsourcing a set of tasks to a set of workers. [amazon mechanical turk](http://www.gartner.com/newsroom/id/2665715)

Spatial crowdsourcing (SC): requires workers to **physically** travel to the task's location in order to execute the task

---

**Ubiquity of mobile users**

6.5 billion mobile subscriptions, 93.5% of the world population [1]

**Technology advances on mobiles**

Smartphone's sensors, e.g., video cameras

**Network bandwidth improvements**

From 2.5G (up to 384Kbps) to 3G (up to 14.7Mbps) and recently **4G** (up to 100 Mbps)

---

There's an app that needs you: the rise of mobile crowdsourcing

The Importance of Mobile Crowdsourcing in 2015

Mobile Crowdsourcing: The Next Chapter, 2016

Spatial Crowdsourcing Apps
(a.k.a Mobile Crowdsourcing)
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Research Challenges in Spatial Crowdsourcing

Task assignment (or worker selection)

Process of identifying which tasks should be assigned to which workers

- Asghari et al. SIGSPATIAL 2016
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- Hassan and Curry ESA’16
- Zhang et al. TVT ’16
- Gao et al. WAIM ’16
- Cheng et al. TKDE ’16
- Tong et al. VLDB ’16
- Liu et al. DASFAA ‘16
- Hu et al. ICDE ’16
- Tong et al. ICDE’16
- Zhang et al. WCMC ‘16
- Liu et al. UbiComp ‘16
- Guo et al. THMS ’16
- To et al. PerCom ’16
- To et al. TSAS ’15
- Alfarrarjeh et al. MDM ’15
- Fonteles et al. MoMM ‘15
- Hassan and Curry. SIGSPATIAL ’15
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- Xiong et al. PerCom ‘15
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- Kazemi and Shahabi. SIGSPATIAL ‘12
Research Challenges in Spatial Crowdsourcing

Privacy-preserving SC

*Protect locations of workers and tasks*

- To et al. TMC ’16
- Boutsis and Kalogeraki. UbiComp ‘16
- Zhang et al. CN ‘16
- Zhang et al. ATIS ‘15
- Shen et al. GLOBECOM ‘15
- Gong et al. IoT’15
- Gong et al. TETC’15
- Hu et al. APWeb ’15
- Pournajaf et al. MDM’14, SIGSPATIAL’15
- To et al. VLDB ’14, ICDE ’15
- Boutsis and Kalogeraki PerCom ‘13
- Vu et al. INFOCOM ‘12
- Kazemi and Shahabi SIGKDD ’11

Task scheduling

*Workers’ path planning to perform tasks*

- Wang et al. 2016
- Fonteles et al. JLBS ‘16
- Deng et al. GeoInformatica ’16
- Mrazovic et al. ICDMW ‘15
- Chen et al. IJCAI ‘15
- Chen et al. AAMAS ‘15
- Hadano et al. HCOMP ’15
- Deng et al. SIGSPATIAL ’15
- Chen et al. HCOMP ‘14
- Deng and Shahabi. SIGSPATIAL ’13
- Sadilek et al. AAAI’2013
Research Challenges in Spatial Crowdsourcing

**Trust and quality**

*Consider quality of report data or trustworthiness of workers*

- Liu et al. Sensor ’16
- Zhang et al. TETC ’16
- Miao et al. DSS ’16
- Fan et al. SOSE ’15
- An et al. HPCC ’15
- Kang et al. MASS ’15
- Cheng et al. VLDB ’15
- Zhao et al. MDM ’15
- Wang et al. UbiComp ’15
- Song et al. TVT ’14
- Boutsis et al. ICDCS ’14
- Feng et al. INFOCOM ’14
- **Kazemi et al. SIGSPATIAL ’13**

**Incentive mechanism**

*Incentivize workers to perform tasks*

- Zhang et al. TVT ’16
- Kandappu et al. CSCW ’16
- Kandappu et al. UbiComp ’16
- Micholia et al. IJHCS ’16
- **To et al. GeoRich ’16**
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- Musthag et al. CHI ’13
- Heimerl et al. CHI ’12
- Jainmes et al. PerCom ’12
- Yang et al. MobiCom ’12
- Lee and Hoh PMC ’10
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Research Challenges in Spatial Crowdsourcing

**Generic frameworks**

*Discuss components, architecture, programming framework of SC apps*
- To et al. CROWDBENCH ’16
- Fonteles et al. RCIS ‘16
- Peng et al. ASE ’16
- Kucherbaev et al. SIGCHI ’16
- Sakamoto et al. COMPSAC ’16
- Fernando et al. MOBIQUITOUS ’13
- Tamilin et al. UbiComp ‘12
- Ra et al. MobiSys ‘12
- Yan et al. SenSys ‘09

**Applications**

- Konomi and Sasao Urb-IoT ‘16
- Jaiman et al. UbiComp/ISWC ’16
- Fan and Tseng MOBIS ‘15
- Konomi and Sasao UbiComp/ISWC ’15
- Harburg et al. CHI ’15
- Chen et al. SenSys ‘15
- Kim CHI ’15
- Aubry et al. CROWDSENSING ’14
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- **Kim et al. MMSys’14**
- Benouaret et al. IEEE IC ’13
- Coric and Gruteser DCOSS ’13
- Koukoumidis et al. MobiSys ‘11
- Goodchild and Glennon IJDE ‘10

**Related surveys**

- Pournajaf et al. SIGMOD Rec. ‘16
- Guo et al. Comp Survey ‘15
- Zhao and Han 2016
- Christin JSS ’15
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**Risks of Location Leaks**

**Stalkers Exploit Cellphone GPS**

“25,000 adults in the U.S. are victims of GPS stalking annually, including by cellphone.” by U.S. Justice Department, Aug 2010.

**Apple, Google Collect User Data**

“~50% top iPhones and Android smartphones disclosed a user’s location to third parties without user consent.” by Wall Street Journal, Dec 2010.

**The Hidden Privacy Threat of ... Flashlight Apps?**

**Security Flaw In Gay Dating App Grindr Reveals Precise Location Of 90% Of Users**

**Could using gay dating app Grindr get you arrested in Egypt?**

**Grindr’s Location Security Update**

“Any user who connects to Grindr in these countries will have their distance hidden automatically by default, which include Russia, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Nigeria, Liberia, etc.”

**If you use Waze, hackers can stalk you**
Privacy Implication of Location Release

“Understanding individual human mobility patterns” [Barabási et al., Nature’08]
- 100,000 mobile phone users, 6 months
- User mobility $\rightarrow$ spatial probability distribution

“Unique in the Crowd:” [Montjoye et al., Nature’13]
- 1.5 million individuals, 15 months
- 4 locations uniquely identify 95% individuals

“Inference Attacks on location tracks” [John Krumm, PERVASIVE'07]
- GPS data from 172 people
- Find home locations with median error of 60 meters
Privacy Implication of Location Release

- Location leaks sensitive information
  - Political and religious view
  - Individual’s health status
  - Alternative lifestyles
  - Affiliations

- Attacks based on locations
  - Physical surveillance
  - Stalking
  - Identity theft
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TaskRabbit: A Case Study

TaskRabbit [Wiki] is an online and mobile marketplace that matches freelance labor with local demand, allowing consumers to find immediate help with everyday tasks, including cleaning, moving, delivery and handyman work.

Leak of task location during tasking

A delivery task

Description:
Please pick up a box of mini-muffins from [redacted], promptly at 8am on Tues., 9/4, and drive them straight to me at [redacted].

Cloaked task locations
TaskRabbit: A Case Study

Leak of worker location tasking and reporting

Super easy task! Deliver a bag to the doorstep of a sick friend

Wed. Dec 18, 3:59 PM for

Details

Description:
Hey guys! I need someone to do a super easy task in the spirit of the holidays! Pick up 2 bags (a holiday gift & groceries) from my house and leave them on the doorstep for a sick friend. I would realllllly appreciate it! It should take less than 30 minutes. Easy-peazy.

TaskRabbit for this Task

Task Price Estimate:
$15 - $21

7 TaskRabbits submitted offers on this Task

Task Status:

Posted Dec 18, 1:06 PM
Assigned Dec 18, 1:25 PM
Completed Dec 18, 3:47 PM
Closed Dec 21, 2:48 PM

Cloaked task locations
Task status
TaskRabbit: A Case Study

Learn a requester’s home by tracking his requests

- Hypothesis: cloaked task regions cover actual task location
- Validate the hypothesis: a known location of another task is actually in the overlapped area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task description</th>
<th>Corresponding JavaScript</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quick post-party dishwashing clean-up needed</td>
<td>&quot;radius&quot;:&quot;0.5&quot;, &quot;geo_center&quot;: {&quot;lat&quot;:&quot;33.885951&quot;, &quot;lng&quot;:&quot;-118.094682&quot;}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take down light Christmas decorations</td>
<td>&quot;radius&quot;:&quot;0.5&quot;, &quot;geo_center&quot;: {&quot;lat&quot;:&quot;33.885951&quot;, &quot;lng&quot;:&quot;-118.094682&quot;}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Put up 20 yard sale signs in Mid-Wilshire area</td>
<td>&quot;radius&quot;:&quot;0.5&quot;, &quot;geo_center&quot;: {&quot;lat&quot;:&quot;33.885951&quot;, &quot;lng&quot;:&quot;-118.094682&quot;}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tasking &amp; Reporting</td>
<td>Shin PMC ’11</td>
<td>Protecting workers’ identity and <em>location (IP address)</em> during <em>task download</em> (use Tor) and <em>report submission</em> (use anonymity service)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td>Boutsis PerCom ’13</td>
<td>Workers <em>exchange</em> spatial task reports before uploading to SC-server so that the server cannot tell which workers upload which reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Zhang CN ‘16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasking</td>
<td>Kazemi SIGKDD Ex’11</td>
<td>SC-server distributes spatial tasks to workers such that each worker is assigned a subset of tasks that are closer to himself than to any other worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vu INFOCOM ‘12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>To VLDB ’14</strong></td>
<td>SC-server assigns a spatial task to a set of workers <em>use</em> <em>differential privacy</em> to hide workers’ location with low travel cost and low communication overhead without compromising assignment success rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gong TETC’15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Zhang ATIS ’15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To TMC ’16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pournajaf MDM’14</td>
<td>SC-server <em>matches</em> a set of spatial tasks to a set of workers given their <em>cloaked regions</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Hu APWeb ’15</td>
<td>• maximize task assignment while satisfying travel budget constraint of each worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shen GLOBECOM ‘15</td>
<td>SC-server assigns a spatial task to the nearest workers on the <em>encrypted</em> domain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Spatial Crowdsourcing Taxonomy

- Reporting
  - Report Type
    - Explicit Report
    - Implicit Report

- Tasking
  - Assignment Type
    - Worker Selected
    - Server Assigned

  - Worker Type
    - Reward-based
      - Self-incentivised
    - Self-incentivised

  - Spatial Task Type
    - Point Task
    - Region Task
    - Complex Task

- Incentives
- Privacy
- Quality Control

Challenges
- Multiple considerations
  - Multiple objectives
    - Quality (quantity) of assigned tasks
    - Total rewards
    - Travel cost
    - Communication cost
SC-Server Performs Task Assignment [*]

- SC-server chooses best workers for task based on task-worker proximity
- SC-server knows workers’ locations

[*] Kazemi and Shahabi. GeoCrowd: Enabling Query Answering with Spatial Crowdsourcing. SIGSPATIAL 2012
Why Differential Privacy [*]? 

Privacy guarantees

- Protection for **individuals**
- Protection against **background knowledge**
- Strong **theoretical guarantees** on privacy of released data

Differential privacy supports various aggregation queries, e.g., count, min, max, mean, etc.

[*] Dwork, Nissim, McSherry, Smith, Calibrating Noise to Sensitivity in Private Data Analysis 2006
Adoption of Differential Privacy

Apple and Google have adapted DP to discover usage patterns from a large number of users.

“RAPPOR enables learning statistics about the behavior of users’ software while guaranteeing client privacy. The guarantees of differential privacy, which are widely accepted as being the strongest form of privacy, …. RAPPOR introduces a practical method to achieve those guarantees.” Úlfar Erlingsson, Google’s Tech Lead Manager, Security Research

“iOS 10 adds Siri intelligence into QuickType and Photos, ..., and opens up Siri, Maps, Phone and Messages to developers — while increasing security and privacy with powerful technologies like Differential Privacy.” Craig Federighi, Apple’s senior vice president of Software Engineering, June 13, 2016.

Differentially Private Publication of Location Entropy
Thursday, November 3. 8:00 - 9:00 AM (Session 11)
Differential Privacy in Use

In spatial crowdsourcing, $Q = \text{“How many people in a particular area?”}$

Output of $Q$: $5 + \text{symmetric random Laplace noise}$
Problem Statement

Using **Differential Privacy** in **Task Assignment** phase of **Spatial Crowdsourcing** to hide the location of workers with **low cost** and **low overhead** without compromising **performance**.

- **Low cost**: travel cost
- **Low overhead**: communication overhead required to send task requests to workers
- **Performance**: # of performed tasks
Privacy-Preserving Task Assignment

Perform task assignment in DP-compliant manner
• Use worker dataset Private Spatial Decomposition (PSD)

Challenges:

1. DP introduces “fake” workers - may lead to inefficient assignment
   • Assign each task to all workers is not scalable
   • Ensure task completion, but keep overhead low, e.g., minimize the number of workers that need to be notified

2. Communication model significantly complicated
   • Establishing direct communication channels violates DP!
   • Instead, disseminate task requests within geocast region

[*] Navas and Imielinski. Geocast: geographic addressing and routing, MOBICOM’97
System Architecture

1. CSP aggregate locations

2. CSP releases **PSD**, according to DP

3. SC-server receives task request

4. SC-server queries **PSD** to find **geocast region** \((GR)\) that encloses **sufficient** workers

5. SC-server geocast the task to all workers within \(GR\)

[*] Navas and Imielinski. Geocast: geographic addressing and routing, MOBICOM’97
Performance Metrics and Results

Assignment Success Rate (ASR)
• Ratio of tasks accepted by a worker
  Similar performance compared to non-private case

Worker Travel Distance (WTD)
• Captures travel cost or assignment quality
  20% increase compared to non-private case

Average Notified Workers (ANW)
• Captures overhead (communication required to geocast task requests or computation of assignment algorithm)
  150% increase compared to non-private case
Publishing Results

[To et al. VLDB 2014]
• Differentially-private framework for task assignment

[To et al. ICDE 2015] [1]
• Toolbox for turning spatial crowdsourcing parameters

[To et al. TMC 2016] [2]
• Protecting worker locations across multiple timestamps

Outlines

• Introduction
• A taxonomy and research challenges
• Privacy-preserving spatial crowdsourcing
  — Risks of location leaks
  — Privacy threats during tasking and reporting
    • TaskRabbit: a case study
  — Privacy countermeasures: the state-of-the-art

• Conclusion and future works
Conclusions and future works

• Taxonomy of spatial crowdsourcing
• Most studies focus on **task assignment** problem
  – Multiple objectives: number (quality) of assigned tasks, total rewards, travel cost, communication overhead, etc.
  – Multiple considerations: privacy, trust, quality, incentive
• Privacy issue
  – Privacy risks occur to workers and requesters during tasking and reporting phases
  – Various techniques have been used: pseudonymity, cloaking, perturbation, encryption, exchange-based
• Task assignment with **rigorous privacy protection**
  – The cost of privacy is practical
  – But none solves privacy risks for both workers and tasks
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