Teaching Assistant Evaluation Summary
Term: 20111
Course: EE-562A
TA: Audhkhasi, Kartik
Student Responses: 26

| 1. | Clearly related the purpose and material in the discussion section to the lecture component. | 4.73 |
| 2. | Was consistently punctual | 4.73 |
| 3. | Articulated the goals of the discussion section clearly. | 4.69 |
| 4. | Organized the discussion section to achieve these goals. | 4.69 |
| 5. | Presented the material in clear, understandable language. | 4.65 |
| 6. | Used chalkboard and other visual aides effectively | 4.54 |
| 7. | Was knowledgeable about the subject matter of the course. | 4.81 |
| 8. | Carefully explained difficult concepts, methods and subject matter. | 4.65 |
| 9. | Provided useful insights into the way research is done in this field. | 4.62 |
| 10. | Was enthusiastic about communicating the subject matter. | 4.77 |
| 11. | Encouraged students to participate in their learning (e.g., through discussion, projects, study groups and other appropriate activities). | 4.77 |
| 12. | Was accessible to students (e.g., during office hours, before and after class, etc.) | 4.81 |
| 13. | Evaluated student work in fair and appropriate ways (if applicable). | 4.77 |
| 14. | Gave helpful feedback on assignments. | 4.62 |

General Questions
15. Overall, how would you rate this teaching assistant? 4.85
16. Overall, how would you rate this discussion section? 4.69

Open Ended Questions
What were the TA’s main strengths?
- He really works hard on it. Really consistent notes.
- Well prepared for every discussion session and organized the lecture systematically.
- 
- 
- 
- Kartik displayed an expansive and deep knowledge of the subject matter. At one point during the course, at his own initiative, he reached out to me and asked if I would like to schedule some extra help on the weekends (I am a DEN student and conflicts with my job prevented me from attending his normal office hours). With regard to technical course material, Kartik would always start from fundamentals when answering your questions. This approach led to a much deeper and rewarding understanding of the subject matter.
- 
- 
- 
- well prepared for each discussion, present a large volume of useful materials for extra study.
- Very knowledgeable about the subject and related materials.
- Very thoughtful and helpful
• His knowledge and the ability to communicate it effectively. He seems to know which concepts most students usually have problems with and has been able to clarify them quite well.
• Very professional, teach a lot in discussion section
• Strong knowledge
• Enthusiastic, accessible
• Professional and enthusiasm
• Responsible
• Very enthusiastic and well planned discussion sessions
• Patient, knowledgeable, available.

How might this TA improve his or her teaching effectiveness?
• It would be perfect if he could speak a little bit slowly.

• I don’t really have any suggestions for this. Kartik was by far the best TA I’ve had so far at USC.

• Pick a more feasible time for discussion, this will probably allow more students to participate in.
• To speak slower would help students understand him or his explanation much better.

• He us great
• slow down a bit
• Not thing. Because he is perfect.
• He should solve more problems in the discussion class.
• no
• He almost prefect, maybe if he could get more time to teach, it would help the students a lot. This class definitely needs more discussion sessions.

Additional comments?
•
• Fantastic job! The best TA I have ever seen.
  He has a very strong Indian accent that made it hard for me to follow him in the class.

• Good TA, Thanks a lot!

• Your discussion sessions made my learning so enjoyable.

• NO.